## LIMITED STATES DISTRICT COLDT

	UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT
		District of Guam
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.	
	Vincent Philip Siguenza Cruz  Defendant	Case Number: CR-07-00021-001
Ţ.,	v	S.C. S. 2142(f) a detention bearing has been held. I comply do that the
	wing facts require the detention of the defend	S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the
10110 v	-	Part I—Findings of Fact
□ <sub>(1</sub> :		
□ (1 <sub>)</sub>		nse if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is 156(a)(4).  life imprisonment or death.
	a felony that was committed after the defendant § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	t had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. al offenses.
☐ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.	
☐ (3)	B) A period of not more than five years has elapsed sin	nce the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment
	for the offense described in finding (1).	
☐ (4)		presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
		further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)
$\square$ (1)		
	☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	ten years or more is prescribed in
$\square$ (2)		blished by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
	the appearance of the defendant as required and the	safety of the community.
		Alternative Findings (B)
$\square$ (1)	1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will n	ot appear.
X (2	2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will e	ndanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II—Writte	en Statement of Reasons for Detention
Ιt	find that the credible testimony and informati	ion submitted at the hearing clear and convincing evidence a prepon-
	ce of the evidence that	<b>C</b>
the de	efendant poses a danger to the community given h	is lengthy criminal history, the nature of the offense charged, and the fact that
the pr	resent offense is alleged to have occurred while the	e defendant was on parole for local offenses. The Court finds that no
condit	tion or combination of conditions can be imposed	that would reasonably assure the safety of the community.
to the	he defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving s	Directions Regarding Detention  General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the

Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

March 8, 2007

Date

/s/ Joaquin V.E. Manibusan, Jr. U.S. Magistrate Judge

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).